

①

POSTED ON WEBSITE  
NOT FOR PUBLICATION

FILED

JAN 16 2008

UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT  
EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

**UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT**

**EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**

In re: ) Case No. 05-24124-D-7  
RICHARD HARRIS and ) Docket Control No. HLC-1  
SANDRA HARRIS, )  
Debtors. ) Date: December 12, 2007  
 ) Time: 10:00 a.m.  
 ) Dept: D

**MEMORANDUM DECISION**

11 This memorandum decision is not approved for publication and may  
12 not be cited except when relevant under the doctrine of law of  
the case or the rules of claim preclusion or issue preclusion.

13 Jeffrey and Patricia Crowder ("the Crowders") have filed a  
14 motion seeking allowance and payment of an amount not to exceed  
15 \$51,841 as of November 17, 2007, as an administrative expense  
16 pursuant to 11 U.S.C. § 503(b).<sup>1</sup> For the reasons set forth  
17 below, the court will deny the motion.

## I. BACKGROUND

19 On April 11, 2005, Richard and Sandra Harris ("the Debtors")  
20 filed a joint chapter 13 petition, thereby commencing this case.  
21 On August 23, 2005, after an evidentiary hearing, the court  
22 converted the case to chapter 7. As of the petition date, the  
23 Debtors claimed ownership of certain shares of stock in an entity

25       1. Unless otherwise indicated, all Code, chapter, section and  
26 Rule references are to the Bankruptcy Code, 11 U.S.C. §§ 101-1330, and  
27 to the Federal Rules of Bankruptcy Procedure, Rules 1001-9036, as  
28 enacted and promulgated prior to the effective date (October 17, 2005)  
of the Bankruptcy Abuse Prevention and Consumer Protection Act of  
2005, Pub. L. 109-8, 119 Stat. 23 (2005). "LBR" refers to the Local  
Bankruptcy Rules for the Eastern District of California.

481

1 called AHTNA Government Services Corporation ("AGSC"). The  
2 Debtors' interest in the shares, which the Debtors valued at  
3 \$2,000,000, became property of their bankruptcy estate at the  
4 time the petition was filed. Also as of the petition date, the  
5 Debtors were appellants in an action pending in the Alaska  
6 Supreme Court pertaining to the AGSC shares.

7 On August 16, 2006 and October 26, 2006, following remand by  
8 the Alaska Supreme Court, the Alaska trial court issued orders  
9 determining that debtor Richard Harris ("Harris") owned the AGSC  
10 shares, subject to his obligation to repay an entity known as  
11 AHTNA, Inc., the sum of \$33,633 plus interest, not later than  
12 November 28, 2006.

13 On November 17, 2006, at the request of Harris, the Crowders  
14 wired funds in the amount of \$45,310 to the attorneys for AHTNA,  
15 Inc., and said funds were applied in satisfaction of Harris'  
16 obligation, as established by the Alaska court's October 26, 2006  
17 order. Harris' obligation to the Crowders was evidenced by a  
18 promissory note dated November 15, 2006, in the amount of \$45,300  
19 (part of Crowders' Exhibit A in support of their motion filed  
20 October 24, 2007).

21 By subsequent order of this court, the chapter 7 trustee in  
22 this case, Michael F. Burkart ("the Trustee"), sold the estate's  
23 interest in the AGSC shares for the benefit of the estate.

24 On October 24, 2007, the Crowders filed a motion for  
25 allowance as an administrative expense of the amount they had  
26 loaned to Harris and wired to AHTNA, Inc. at Harris' direction  
27 ("the Motion"). The Trustee and AGSC opposed the Motion, and  
28 AHTNA, Inc. joined in AGSC's opposition. The Crowders filed a

1 reply to the various oppositions. The parties presented oral  
2 argument at a hearing on December 12, 2007, after which the court  
3 invited additional briefing. The Crowders filed a supplemental  
4 brief on December 14, 2007, the Trustee filed a post-hearing  
5 reply on January 4, 2008, and AGSC filed a joinder in the  
6 Trustee's post-hearing reply on January 7, 2008. The matter was  
7 then taken as submitted.

8 II. ANALYSIS

9 This court has jurisdiction over the Motion pursuant to 28  
10 U.S.C. §§ 1334 and 157(b)(1). The Motion is a core proceeding  
11 under 28 U.S.C. § 157(b)(2)(B).

12 The Crowders seek administrative expense status for their  
13 loan to Harris, the proceeds of which were paid to AHTNA, Inc.,  
14 pursuant to § 503(b)(1)(A), which affords administrative status  
15 to "the actual, necessary costs and expenses of preserving the  
16 estate . . ." § 503(b)(1)(A). The burden of proof is on the  
17 claimant. Microsoft Corp. v. DAK Indus. (In re DAK Indus.), 66  
18 F.3d 1091, 1094 (9th Cir. 1995).

19 The claimant must show that the debt asserted to be an  
20 administrative expense (1) arose from a transaction  
21 with the debtor-in-possession as opposed to the  
22 preceding entity (or, alternatively, that the claimant  
gave consideration to the debtor-in-possession); and  
(2) directly and substantially benefitted the estate.

23 DAK Indus., 66 F. 3d at 1094.

24 The court need not reach the second prong of this test--  
25 whether the payment by the Crowders to AHTNA, Inc., directly and  
26 substantially benefitted the bankruptcy estate in this case,  
27 because the court finds that the first prong has not been  
28 satisfied. In short, there was no agreement or transaction

1 between the Trustee, as the representative of the estate, and the  
2 Crowders. Nor did the Crowders give consideration to the estate.

3 Jeffrey Crowder testified that the Crowders "agreed to, and  
4 in fact did, loan the Trustee" the funds transferred to AHTNA,  
5 Inc., on terms set forth in an unsigned document entitled  
6 "Borrowing Agreement," submitted by the Crowders as an exhibit.  
7 Among those terms was that the loan would be "entitled to be paid  
8 in priority to the payment of all claims against the bankruptcy  
9 estate except for Chapter 7 priority claims." Declaration of  
10 Jeffrey Crowder, filed October 24, 2007, ¶ 2.

11 The court finds to the contrary; namely, that the Crowders'  
12 transfer of the funds to AHTNA, Inc., represented a loan to the  
13 Debtors, not to the Trustee or the bankruptcy estate. Jeffrey  
14 Crowder was asked at a deposition taken November 16, 2007, what  
15 he expected would be the source of repayment--in response, he  
16 referred to Harris getting the stock shares back and then selling  
17 them, and he referred to two promissory notes, one signed by  
18 Richard Harris, and a second one signed by Richard and Sandra  
19 Harris.<sup>2</sup> Crowder acknowledged that he had no contact with either  
20 the Trustee or the Trustee's counsel prior to making the loan,  
21 and that no one represented him in negotiating with the Trustee.  
22 Concerning the proposed Borrowing Agreement, Crowder stated that  
23 Harris told him it "had been passed on to the Trustee," and that  
24 Crowder "was never given any indication that the Trustee hadn't  
25 accepted it." In fact, Crowder believes Harris told him the  
26 Trustee had accepted the agreement.

27  
28 2. A transcript of the deposition appears as Exhibit 2 among the  
exhibits filed by the Trustee on November 28, 2007.

1       However, Crowder had never seen a signed copy of the  
2 agreement, and Harris was his only source of information as to  
3 whether there was an agreement with the Trustee. The only  
4 conversations Crowder had at the time he made the loan were with  
5 Harris. Although Harris led him to believe the Borrowing  
6 Agreement had been approved by this court, Crowder never saw and  
7 never requested a copy of an order approving it.

8       Further, Crowder understood the Borrowing Agreement to be  
9 between Harris and the Trustee. Crowder stated, "[i]n my  
10 opinion, all contracts are only as good as the person who signs  
11 them." In short, he acknowledged he was looking to Harris for  
12 repayment. Nowhere does he state that he believed he had an  
13 agreement with the Trustee or that he was looking to the Trustee  
14 or the bankruptcy estate as either a primary or secondary source  
15 of repayment.

16       The only written evidence of the loan are the two promissory  
17 notes submitted by the Crowders as exhibits. As indicated above,  
18 the first is signed by Richard Harris, the second, by Richard and  
19 Sandra Harris. There is no evidence, written or testimonial, of  
20 a loan or an agreement for a loan by the Crowders to the Trustee  
21 or the estate. There is no evidence of a direct payment by the  
22 Crowders for the benefit of the estate; rather, there was a loan  
23 from the Crowders to the Debtors, which Debtor Richard Harris, in  
24 turn, directed be used for the potential benefit of the estate.

25       The Crowders rely on In re Sports Shinko (Florida) Co., 333  
26 B.R. 483 (Bankr. M.D.Fla. 2005), in which the court afforded  
27 administrative status to expenses paid by a homeowners'  
28 association for maintenance of condominiums on property owned by

1 a chapter 7 estate, despite the fact that the trustee did not  
2 expressly authorize them. The court held that the association  
3 furnished consideration to the estate, and that the expenses  
4 resulted from a transaction with the estate. 333 B.R. at 501.  
5 The present case is distinguishable, however, because the  
6 Crowders did not pay an expense on behalf of the estate. Rather,  
7 they lent money to the Debtors, who in turn, paid the expense.

8 The record demonstrates that the Crowders looked solely to  
9 the Debtors for repayment. At the December 12, 2007 hearing,  
10 counsel for the Crowders stated that the Debtors have left the  
11 state without repaying the Crowders. That it may be more  
12 convenient for the Crowders to seek payment from the estate is  
13 not a basis for allowing an administrative claim.<sup>3</sup>

14 The transaction in this case was functionally the same as if  
15 the Debtors had paid AHTNA, Inc., using a Visa card or  
16 Mastercard, and then failed to pay the credit card bill. In that  
17 instance, the court would be unable to find a transaction between  
18 the credit card lender and the bankruptcy estate. Nor could the  
19 court find that the credit card lender gave consideration to the  
20 estate. Thus, the court would not be prepared to allow an  
21 administrative claim in favor of the credit card lender.

22 Similarly, in this case, the Crowders lent money to the  
23 Debtors, looking to the Debtors for repayment. The transaction  
24 was between the Crowders and the Debtors; the consideration  
25

---

26 3. It is not clear whether the Crowders have sought payment from  
27 the Debtors. Jeffrey Crowder testified at his deposition that he had  
28 not filed a lawsuit against Richard Harris, had not sent him a letter  
demanding payment, and had not asked him to pay.

1 flowed to the Debtors. The court is not prepared to extend  
2 administrative priority out that far.

3 III. CONCLUSION

4 A party seeking allowance of an administrative claim must  
5 demonstrate both (1) that his or her claim arose from a  
6 transaction with the representative of the estate, either the  
7 trustee or a debtor-in-possession (or, alternatively, that the  
8 claimant gave consideration to the representative of the estate),  
9 and (2) that payment of the expense directly and substantially  
10 benefitted the estate. DAK Indus., 66 F.3d at 1094.

11 To extend administrative priority to the Crowders' claim  
12 would be to eliminate the first requirement, leaving only the  
13 need to show direct and substantial benefit to the estate. This  
14 the court is not prepared to do.

15 For the reasons set forth above, the court will issue an  
16 order denying the motion.

17 Dated: January 16, 2008

*Robert Bardwil*

18 ROBERT S. BARDWIL  
19 United States Bankruptcy Judge

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

This document does not constitute a certificate of service. The parties listed below will be served a separate Notice of Entry of the attached order or judgment.

---

Bruce Moore  
943 W 6th Ave  
Anchorage, AK 99501

David Wiseblood  
560 Mission St #3100  
San Francisco, CA 94105

George Hollister  
655 University Ave #200  
Sacramento, CA 95825

J. Donald  
815 S St 2nd Fl  
Sacramento, CA 95814-0916

Patrick Gilmore  
420 L St #500  
Anchorage, AK 99501

Thomas Willoughby  
400 Capitol Mall #1450  
Sacramento, CA 95814-4434

**UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT  
EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**

**CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

**The undersigned deputy clerk in the office of the United States Bankruptcy Court for the Eastern District of California hereby certifies that a copy of the document to which this certificate is attached was served by mail to the following entities listed at the address(es) shown below.**

Office of the US Trustee  
501 I St, Ste 7-500  
Sacramento, CA 95814

**DATED: 1/16/08**

By: Melissa M. Inter  
Deputy Clerk